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Problem 1 (Hilbert Basis): Let $P=\{x: A x \leq 0\}$ be any rational cone which is not pointed, that is, there is at least one non-zero $z$ satisfying $A z=0$. This implies that there exists such integral $z$.

Given any Hilbert basis $B$, it contains a vector $b_{i}$ with $A b_{i}=0$. For a short proof, consider any integral $z$ satisfying $A z=0$. That $z$ can be expressed as a non-negative integer combination $z=\sum_{b_{j} \in B} \gamma_{j} b_{j}$. We conclude that $\sum_{b_{j} \in B} \gamma_{j} A b_{j}=0$. Since there is a strictly positive $\gamma_{j}$ and $A b_{j} \leq 0$ for all $b_{j}$ 's, there exists at least one $b_{i}$ satisfying $A b_{i}=0$. It also follows that $-b_{i}$ is in $P$ as well.

We are able to find non-negative integer coefficients $\lambda_{j}$ so that

$$
-b_{i}=\sum_{b_{j} \in B} \lambda_{j} b_{j} .
$$

We claim that $B^{\prime}=B \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ where $b_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{i}+2\right) b_{i}$ is another Hilbert basis. For a proof, it is enough to express $b_{i}$ as a non-negative integer combination of vectors in $B^{\prime}$. Observe that

$$
b_{i}=b_{i}^{\prime}-\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right) b_{i}=b_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{b_{j} \in B^{\prime} \backslash\left\{b_{i}^{\prime}\right\}} \lambda_{j} b_{j} .
$$

Finally, if $B$ is a minimal Hilbert basis, then $B^{\prime}$ is also minimal. For the sake of a contradiction, suppose $B^{\prime}$ is not minimal, that is, there is a basis vector $b_{k}$ in $B^{\prime}$ such that $B^{\prime} \backslash\left\{b_{k}\right\}$ is still a Hilbert basis. If so, then $b_{k}$ can be expressed as a non-negative integer combination

$$
b_{k}=\sum_{b_{j} \in B^{\prime} \backslash\left\{b_{k}\right\}} \delta_{j} b_{j} .
$$

We distinguish two cases. If $k=i$ where $i$ is the index from above, then

$$
b_{i}=\sum_{b_{j} \in B \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\}} \delta_{j} b_{j}-\left(\lambda_{i}+1\right) b_{i}=\sum_{b_{j} \in B \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\}}\left(\delta_{j}+\lambda_{j}\right) b_{j}
$$

by using $b_{k}=b_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{i}+2\right) b_{i}$, the identity $B^{\prime} \backslash\left\{b_{k}\right\}=B \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ and $-b_{i}=\sum_{b_{j} \in B} \lambda_{j} b_{j}$. The basis $B$ would not be minimal, and the contradiction is established. Working out the details for the case where $k \neq i$ is left to the reader.

Problem 2 (Lower Bound on the Size of a Hilbert Basis): Since $C=\operatorname{cone}\left(\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}\right)$ is generated by $n$ linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, the zonotope $Z$, as defined in the lecture notes, is full dimensional. Furthermore, it is a combinatorial cube with volume $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]\right)\right|$, which tiles the whole space with translations by integer combinations of the basis vectors.

Let $V$ consist of the extreme points of the zonotope $Z$. Each extreme point belongs to the Hilbert basis $B$, except the origin, and therefore $|B| \geq|V|-1=2^{n}-1$.

For any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, a unit-cube is defined as follows

$$
U_{x}=\left\{y: x_{i}-1 / 2 \leq y_{i} \leq x_{i}+1 / 2 \text { for every } i \in[n]\right\}
$$

Let us consider the unit-cubes of the integer points in the zonotope $Z$. The interiors of those cubes do not intersect and their union has volume at least as large as $Z$. This is because the union tiles the whole space with possible interior overlaps with translations by integer combinations of the basis vectors.

Note that the volume of

$$
Z \cap\left(\bigcup_{x \in V} U_{x}\right)
$$

is exactly 1 . To cover the remaining volume of $Z$ we need at least $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]\right)\right|-1$ unit-cubes centered at integer points. Thus, the size of the Hilbert basis $B$ is at least $2^{n}+\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]\right)\right|-2$.

The bound is tight. Suppose the cone $C$ is defined by the orthogonal unit-vectors $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. Then $Z$ has one unit of volume and the size of $B$ is trivially $2^{n}-1$.

Problem 3 (Convexity Checking): For a matrix $A^{i}$, let $m^{i}$ be its number of rows and $A_{j}^{i}$ denote row $j$. Let $P:=P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup \ldots \cup P_{k}$ be the union of all convex polytopes and $V:=V^{1} \cup V^{2} \cup \ldots \cup V^{k}$ be the union of extreme points. Define a constant $M$ as

$$
M:=\max \{\|v-u\|: u, v \in V \text { and } u \neq v\} .
$$

We formulate
(mixed IP)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\max & f & & \\
\text { s.t. } & A_{j}^{i} x & \geq b_{j}-(M+1) s^{i j}+f, & \\
m^{i} & P_{i}, 1 \leq j \leq m^{i} \\
\sum_{j=1} s^{i j} & \leq m^{i}-1, & & \forall P_{i} \\
s^{i j} & \in\{0,1\}, & & \forall P_{i}, 1 \leq j \leq m^{i} \\
f & \geq 0, & & \\
x & =\sum_{v_{i} \in V} \lambda_{i} v_{i}, & & \\
\sum_{v_{i} \in V} \lambda_{i} & =1, & & \forall v_{i} \in V
\end{array}
$$

and claim that this mixed IP has a positive optimal value $f^{*}$ if and only if the union $P$ is not convex. We prove the "only if" direction. Suppose $f^{*}>0$. Because of constraint (1), for every $i$, there is at least one $j$ such that $s^{i j *}=0$. But then $A_{j}^{i} x^{*} \geq b_{j}+f^{*}$, that is, $x^{*}$ is not in $P_{i}$. It follows that $x^{*}$ is not in P . At the same time the constraints (2), (3) and (4) imply that $x^{*}$ is in $\operatorname{conv}(P)$. We conclude that $\operatorname{conv}(P) \neq P$.

For the "if" direction assume that $P$ is not convex. Then there exists $x^{*}$ such that $x \in \operatorname{conv}(P) \backslash P$. We show that this solution can be extended to a solution $\left(x^{*}, f^{*}, s^{*}\right)$ where $s^{*}=\left(s^{i j *}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, k ; j=1, \ldots, m^{i}}$, such that $f^{*}>0$. The constraints (2), (3) and (4) are satisfied by choice of $x^{*}$ and do not depend on $f^{*}$ and $s^{*}$. Since $x^{*} \notin P$ for every $i$ there exists a $\ell(i)$ such that the $\ell(i)$-th constraint of $A^{i}$ is violated, i.e.,

$$
A_{\ell(i)}^{i} x>b_{k}
$$

For all $j \neq \ell(i)$ set $s^{i j *}=1$ and set $s^{i \ell(i) *}=0$ for all $i$. Hence the second and third inequality of (1) are satisfied. Then by choice of $M$ we have that for all $j \neq \ell(i)$

$$
A_{j}^{i} x \geq b_{j}-M>b_{j}-(M+1) s^{i j}
$$

It follows that we can choose $f^{*}$ as

$$
f^{*}=\min _{i}\left\{\min \left\{A_{\ell(i)}^{i} x-b_{k} ; A_{j}^{i} x-b_{j}-(M+1) s^{i j}, j \neq \ell(i)\right\}\right\}>0 .
$$

With this choice of $\left(x^{*}, f^{*}, s^{*}\right)$ we constructed a solution to the LP with $f^{*}>0$.

